

Matrix De Rham complex and quantum A_∞ -algebras

S.Barannikov

Ecole Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75230, Paris, Cedex 05.

The idea of relation of cyclic differential with $gl(N)$ -invariant tensors on matrix spaces $gl(N) \otimes \Pi V$ was the origin of the cyclic homology [FT87],[L92]. I suggest, motivated by the ideas of supersymmetry, in particular the importance of the *odd* symplectic and Batalin–Vilkovisky structures for construction of lagrangians of physical theories, that it is necessary to include other simple *super* associative algebras into study and consider "points" over such algebras as Bernstein-Leites algebra $q(N)$ [BL82], which is the odd analogue of the general linear matrix algebra, and $gl(N|N)$. In the last section I give an example of how this interaction of ideas from non-commutative geometry and supersymmetry gives a nice explanation to the new type of supersymmetric matrix integrals that I've discovered in [B06b].

I start by establishing the relation of the non-commutative BV-formalism with super-invariant matrix integration and, in particular, represent the non-commutative BV-equation, introduced in [B06a] and defining the quantum A_∞ -algebras, via de Rham differential acting on the supermatrix spaces

$$q(N) \otimes \Pi V$$

constructed from the Bernstein-Leites algebra, in the even scalar product case, and on

$$gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V$$

in the odd scalar product case. It implies, in particular, that the cohomology of the Batalin-Vilkovisky differential from loc.cit. are zero. As some other immediate consequence I prove that the lagrangians of the new supersymmetric matrix integrals, introduced in [B06b], represent closed $gl(N)$ -equivariant differential forms:

$$\exp \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{otr} \circ l^{-1}([\Xi, X], X) + S_q(X) \right) \quad (1)$$

where $\Xi \in q(N)_1$ is an odd element and

$$S_q(X) = \sum_{\alpha, g, i} \hbar^{2g-1+i} c_{g, \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i} \text{otr}(X^{\alpha_1}) \dots \text{otr}(X^{\alpha_i})$$

¹preprint HAL-00378776, (04/2009)

²submitted for publication on 20/01/2010.

is $q(N)$ –invariant function associated by the invariant theory with an element from $\Lambda(\oplus_{j=0}^{\infty}((\Pi V)^{\otimes j})^{\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z}})[[\hbar]]$, representing a solution to the noncommutative BV-equation in the even scalar product case, and

$$\exp \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{1}{2} tr \circ l^{-1}([\Xi, X], X) + S_{gl}(X) \right) \quad (2)$$

where $\Xi \in gl(N|N)_1$ is an odd element and

$$S_{gl}(X) = \sum_{\alpha, g, i} \hbar^{2g-1+i} c_{g, \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_i} tr(X^{\alpha_1}) \dots tr(X^{\alpha_i})$$

is $gl(N|N)$ –invariant function associated by the invariant theory with an element from $S(\oplus_{j=0}^{\infty}(V^{\otimes j})^{\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z}})[[\hbar]]$, representing a solution to the noncommutative BV-equation in the odd scalar product case.

As another consequence of the established relation of non-commutative BV-formalism with super-invariant matrix integration, I prove, in particular, an analogue of Morita equivalence for non-commutative BV-formalism and construct from solutions of the non-commutative BV-equations corresponding to vector space with scalar product (V, l) , the solutions corresponding to the vector spaces $(V \otimes gl(k|\tilde{k}), l \otimes tr)$ and $(V \otimes q(N), l \otimes otr)$.

The integrals of (1), and their odd dimensional counterparts (2), are the higher dimensional generalisations of the Witten-Kontsevich matrix Airy function. The case of the matrix Airy function corresponds to the simplest zero dimensional solution, associated with the algebra generated by the identity element: $e \cdot e = e$. It is remarkable that in order to write down the higher dimensional generalisation, I had to use the language of supersymmetry and in particular to use the quadratic term depending on odd parameters and replace the traces by their odd analogs, the odd traces on Bernstein-Leites algebras $q(N)$.

From another point of view, in the case of odd dimension (odd scalar product on V) and points over simple superalgebra $gl(N|N)$, the integrals of (2), can be understood as *non-commutative super-equivariant multitrace* analogues of the Chern-Simons functional. The relation with the Chern-Simons functional can be seen through a three-step reduction. First, by putting $\hbar = 0$ in $S_{gl}(X)$, the multitraces and higher genus terms are set to zero in $S_{gl}(X)$, and $S_{gl}(X)$ is reduced to the potential of the cyclic A_{∞} –algebra with odd scalar product. Next step is to put $\Xi = 0$ so that the quadratic term, representing the hamiltonian of the action of the odd part of $gl(N|N)$ and playing the central role in the relation with Witten-Kontsevich matrix Airy function in the $q(N)$ case, is set to zero. Assuming further that higher products of the A_{∞} –algebra $m_{\geq 3}$ are zero, the lagrangian (2) becomes a non-commutative supersymmetric variant of the Chern-Simons functional, studied together with its equivariant counterpart and their relation with compactified moduli spaces in [B09d]. One recovers the usual Chern-Simons functional by taking $V = \Omega_M^*(End(E))$ – the dg-algebra of $End(E)$ –valued forms on three dimensional manifold with the odd scalar

product given by $\int tr(uv)$, and by putting $N = 1$ and using the gauge fixing restriction to $gl(1|0) \subset gl(1|1)$.

The results of the current paper suggest that, in a sense, any non-commutative topological matrix lagrangian, invariant with respect to the super Lie algebras $q(N)$ or $gl(N|N)$, comes from the construction from [B06b].

The study of integrals of (1,2) can be viewed as generalisation for families depending on non-commutative parameters (i.e. points over non-commutative super algebras) of the study of the A_∞ -periods from ([B00])

$$\int (\exp \frac{1}{\hbar} \gamma_{A_\infty}) \omega ,$$

where γ_{A_∞} represents an A_∞ -structure. The study of the A_∞ -periods had led to the detection, see loc.cit., of the analogue, in the non-commutative setting of the theory of Hodge structures and their variations via the notion of semi-infinite Hodge structures. Understanding of all the features of the analogue of the theory of variations of Hodge structure for integrals of the type (1,2) is an important task which will undoubtedly have interesting applications in such domains of mathematics, as K -theory, integrable systems, harmonic analysis, number theory etc.

Here is the short description of sections of the paper. In the first two sections I consider the odd symplectic affine space $gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V$ and identify the noncommutative Batalin-Vilkovisky differential on $Symm(\oplus_{j=0}^{\infty} (V^{\otimes j})^{\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z}})$ from [B06a] with $gl(N|N)$ -invariant BV-differential on this affine space. In the next section I prove this result in the even scalar product case, corresponding to the noncommutative BV-differential on the exterior product of cyclic chains $Symm(\oplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pi((\Pi V)^{\otimes j})^{\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z}})$ and the $q(N)$ -invariant BV-differential on the odd symplectic affine space $q(N) \otimes \Pi V$. By standard odd Fourier transform the BV-differentials on affine matrix spaces are identified with de Rham differentials on other affine matrix spaces. I also prove a kind of Morita equivalence, allowing one starting from solutions to the noncommutative BV equation corresponding to space V to construct solutions in the space $V \otimes gl(k|l)$ and on $V \otimes q(N)$. In the next section I write down the hamiltonians for the supergroups actions which when added with the BV-differentials compute the equivariant cohomology. Last section is devoted to noncommutative *super*-equivariant AKSZ-type symplectic σ -model interpretation of the lagrangians from [B06b]. It is important to stress that it is precisely the invariance with respect to the *super*-groups $GQ(N)$ and $GL(N|N)$ that plays the essential role in this interpretation.

Notations. I work in the tensor category of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces, over an algebraically closed field k , $char(k) = 0$. Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space. I denote by $\overline{\alpha}$ the parity of an element α and by ΠV the super vector space with inversed parity. For a finite group G acting on a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space U , I denote via U^G the space of invariants with respect to the action of G and by U_G the space of coinvariants $U_G = U / \{gv - v | v \in U, g \in G\}$. If G is finite then the averaging $(v) \rightarrow 1/|G| \sum_{g \in G} gv$ give a canonical isomorphism $U_G \simeq U^G$. Element $(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n)$ of $A^{\otimes n}$ is denoted by

$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. Cyclic words, i.e. elements of the subspace $(V^{\otimes n})^{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ are denoted via $(a_1 \dots a_n)^c$. The symbol δ_α^β denotes the Kronecker delta tensor: $\delta_\alpha^\beta = 1$ for $\alpha = \beta$ and zero otherwise. I denote by tr the super trace linear functional on $End(U)$, $tr(U) = \sum_a (-1)^{\bar{a}} U_a^a$. The isomorphism of the tensor category of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces, $X \otimes Y \simeq Y \otimes X$, is realized via $(x, y) \rightarrow (-1)^{\bar{x}\bar{y}}(y, x)$. Throughout the paper, unless it is stated explicitly otherwise, $(-1)^{\epsilon_K}$ in the formulas denotes the standard Koszul sign, which can be worked out by counting $(-1)^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$ every time the objects a and b are interchanged to obtain the given formula.

1 The vector space F .

Let $F = \oplus_{n=0}^\infty F_n$ where

$$F_n = (V^{\otimes n} \otimes k[\mathbb{S}_n])^{\mathbb{S}_n}.$$

Here $k[\mathbb{S}_n]$ is the group algebra of the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_n$, and $\mathbb{S}_n$ acts on $k[\mathbb{S}_n]$ by conjugation.

Odd symmetric scalar product on V defines the differential on F [B06a], which equips F with the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure. Below I shall use the invariant theory approach to cyclic homology ([FT87],[L92] and references therein) in order to represent this differential on F via GL -invariant geometry on the affine spaces $gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V$.

Let U be a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space. There is the natural left group $\mathbb{S}_n$ -action on $U^{\otimes n}$ via

$$\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n, \quad \sigma : (u_1, \dots, u_n) \rightarrow (-1)^{\epsilon_K} (u_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, u_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$$

where $(-1)^{\epsilon_K}$ is the standard Koszul sign, which is equal in this case to

$$\sum_{i < j, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)} (-1)^{\bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j}.$$

This gives k -algebra morphism $\mu : k[\mathbb{S}_n] \rightarrow End_k(U^{\otimes n})$. The group $GL(U)$ of automorphisms of U acts diagonally on $U^{\otimes n}$ and the image of $k[\mathbb{S}_n]$ is obviously in the invariant subspace of $End_k(U^{\otimes n})$. If U is a vector space with $\dim_k U_0 \geq n$ then the k -algebra morphism μ is an isomorphism:

$$\mu : k[\mathbb{S}_n] \simeq (End_k(U^{\otimes n}))^{GL(U)} \quad (3)$$

according to the invariant theory, see for example ([L92], 9.1.4), arguments from which are easily adopted to work in the supercase.

Proposition 1 *The vector space F_n is canonically identified via the map μ with $GL(U)$ -invariant subspace of n -symmetric powers of the vector space $End_k(U) \otimes V$:*

$$F_n \simeq (S^n(End_k(U) \otimes V))^{GL(U)}, \quad (4)$$

where U is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space with $\dim_k U_0 \geq n$.

Proof. The proof is essentially the application of the invariant theory as in the classical definition of cyclic homology via homology of general linear group (see [FT87],[L92]). I have the following sequence of isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} (V^{\otimes n} \otimes k[\mathbb{S}_n])^{\mathbb{S}_n} &\simeq (V^{\otimes n} \otimes (End_k(U^{\otimes n}))^{GL(U)})^{\mathbb{S}_n} \simeq \\ &\simeq (V^{\otimes n} \otimes (End_k(U)^{\otimes n})^{GL(U)})^{\mathbb{S}_n} \simeq ((V^{\otimes n} \otimes End_k(U)^{\otimes n})^{GL(U)})^{\mathbb{S}_n} \simeq \\ &\simeq (((V \otimes End_k(U))^{\otimes n})^{\mathbb{S}_n})^{GL(U)} \simeq (S^n(End_k(U) \otimes V))^{GL(U)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here I used the canonical isomorphism $End_k(U)^{\otimes n} \simeq End_k(U^{\otimes n})$, under which the permuting of n -tuples of endomorphisms by σ corresponds to the conjugation by $\mu(\sigma)$. I also used the fact that $GL(U)$ -action and $\mathbb{S}_n$ -action mutually commute. ■

I shall denote the isomorphism (4) by μ_F . Denote by $\{E_\alpha^\beta\}$, $E_\alpha^\beta = e_\alpha^* \otimes e_\beta$ the basis of elementary matrices in $End_k(U)$ corresponding to some basis $\{e_\alpha\}$ in U . Then the map (3) is written as

$$\mu : [\sigma] \rightarrow \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} (-1)^{\epsilon_K} E_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}.$$

For a set of elements $a_i \in V$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ denote via

$$A_{i,\alpha}^\beta = E_\alpha^\beta \otimes a_i, \quad A_{i,\alpha}^\beta \in End_k(U) \otimes V \quad (5)$$

the corresponding set of generators of the symmetric algebra of $End_k(U) \otimes V$. Denote

$$Tr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r} (-1)^{\epsilon_K} A_{\rho_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_r} \cdot A_{\rho_2, \alpha_2}^{\alpha_1} \dots A_{\rho_r, \alpha_r}^{\alpha_{r-1}} \quad (6)$$

the symmetric tensor of degree r .

Remark 2 The notations (5), (6) are justified by the fact that if one identifies $End_k(U)$ with its dual space $\text{Hom}(End_k(U), k)$ using the super trace and therefore identifies $S^n(End_k(U) \otimes V)$ with polynomial functions of degree n on the vector space $\text{Hom}(V, End_k(U))$, so that the isomorphism μ_F becomes

$$F_n \simeq (S^n(\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(V, End_k(U)), k)))^{GL(U)}$$

Then $A_{i,\alpha}^\beta$ is the linear function on $\text{Hom}(V, End_k(U))$: $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(a_i)_\alpha^\beta$, where $\varphi(a_i)e_\alpha = \varphi(a_i)_\alpha^\beta e_\beta$, and (6) is the super trace of the action of product of matrices $\varphi(a_{\rho_1}) \dots \varphi(a_{\rho_r})$ on U .

The space F_n is generated linearly by $\mathbb{S}_n$ -invariant elements of the form

$$(a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c \dots (a_{\tau_1} \dots a_{\tau_t})^c \otimes \sigma \quad (7)$$

where $\sigma = (\rho_1 \dots \rho_r) \dots (\tau_1 \dots \tau_t)$ is the cycle decomposition of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

Lemma 3 *The isomorphism (4) sends the element (7) to the $GL(U)$ -invariant symmetric tensor*

$$Tr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \cdot \dots \cdot Tr(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t}).$$

Proof. By definition μ_F sends such element to

$$\sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n} (-1)^{\epsilon_K} A_{1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{n, \alpha_n}^{\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}. \quad (8)$$

It is sufficient now to rearrange (8), so that the pairs of terms with the same repeating upper and lower indexes are placed one after the other. ■

2 The differential and the bracket.

Assume now that V has an *odd* symmetric scalar product

$$l : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \Pi k, l(x, y) = (-1)^{\bar{x}\bar{y}} l(y, x)$$

It follows in particular that the even and odd components of V are of the same dimension, $\dim_k V = (r|r)$.

I assume from now on that U is also the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space which has even and odd components of the same dimension:

$$\dim_k U = (N|N).$$

The super-trace functional

$$tr(E_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}}) = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}}$$

defines the natural even scalar product on the vector space $End_k(U)$:

$$tr(E_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{\alpha}}, E_{\alpha}^{\beta}) = (-1)^{\bar{\alpha}} \delta_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \delta_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\beta} \quad (9)$$

It allows to extend the odd symmetric scalar product l on V to the odd symmetric scalar product $\hat{l}$ on $gl(N|N) \otimes V$. The latter space is therefore an affine space with constant odd symplectic structure. Its algebra of symmetric tensors $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n(gl(N|N) \otimes V)$ is naturally a Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra. If I choose a basis $\{a_{\nu}\}$ in V , then the Batalin-Vilkovisky operator acting on the symmetric algebra $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n(gl(N|N) \otimes V)$ is written, using the generators $A_{\nu, \alpha}^{\beta}$, as

$$\Delta = \sum_{\nu \kappa, \alpha \beta} (-1)^{\epsilon_K} \frac{l_{\nu \kappa}}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_{\nu, \alpha}^{\beta} \partial A_{\kappa, \beta}^{\alpha}} \quad (10)$$

where $l_{\nu \kappa} = l(a_{\nu}, a_{\kappa})$, and the Koszul sign in this case $\epsilon_K = \bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}_{\nu}(\bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta})$. Similarly I have the standard odd Poisson bracket corresponding to the affine space with constant odd symplectic structure:

$$\{A_{\nu, \alpha}^{\beta} A_{\kappa, \tilde{\beta}}^{\tilde{\alpha}}\} = (-1)^{\epsilon_K} \delta_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\alpha}'} \delta_{\tilde{\beta}}^{\beta} l_{\nu \kappa}$$

Since the scalar product $\widehat{l}$ is $GL(N|N)$ -invariant, therefore both the second-order odd operator Δ and the bracket $\{\bullet, \bullet\}$ are $GL(N|N)$ -invariant. It defines the differential and the bracket on the $GL(N|N)$ -invariant subspace

$$\oplus_{n \leq s} S^n(gl(N|N) \otimes V)^{GL(N|N)}, \quad (11)$$

which coincides with $\oplus_{n \leq s} F^n$ by (4) if $\dim_k U$ is sufficiently big ($N \geq s$).

I defined in [B06a] the Batalin-Vilkovisky operator acting on F . It is the combination of "dissection-gluing" operator acting on cycles with contracting by the tensor of the scalar product. The space F is naturally identified, by considering the cycle decomposition of permutations, with the symmetric algebra of the space of cyclic words:

$$F = \text{Symm}(\oplus_{j=0}^{\infty} (V^{\otimes j})^{\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z}}).$$

The second order Batalin-Vilkovisky operator from ([B06a],[B06b]) is completely determined by its action on the second symmetric power and it sends a product of two cyclic words $(a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c (a_{\tau_1} \dots a_{\tau_t})^c$ to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{1,K}} l_{\rho_p \tau_q} (a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_{p-1}} a_{\tau_{q+1}} \dots a_{\tau_{q-1}} a_{\rho_{p+1}} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c + \\ & + \sum_{p+1 < q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{2,K}} l_{\rho_p \rho_q} (a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_{p-1}} a_{\rho_{q+1}} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c (a_{\rho_{p+1}} \dots a_{\rho_{q-1}})^c (a_{\tau_1} \dots a_{\tau_t})^c \\ & + \sum_{p+1 < q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{3,K}} l_{\tau_p \tau_q} (a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c (a_{\tau_1} \dots a_{\tau_{p-1}} a_{\tau_{q+1}} \dots a_{\tau_t})^c (a_{\tau_{p+1}} \dots a_{\tau_{q-1}})^c \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_{i,K}$ are the Koszul signs. It follows from [B06a] that $\Delta^2 = 0$.

Theorem 4 *The operator Δ defined on the $GL(N|N)$ -invariant subspace (11), for sufficiently big $N \geq s$, coincides with the BV-differential defined on $\oplus_{n \leq s} F_n$ from [B06a],[B06b].*

Proof. As Δ is of the second order with respect to the multiplication, it is sufficient to consider the case of a product of two cyclic words

$$(a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c (a_{\tau_1} \dots a_{\tau_t})^c$$

which corresponds under μ_F to

$$\text{Tr}(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \text{Tr}(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t}).$$

Applying

$$\Delta = \sum_{\nu, \kappa; \theta, \beta} (-1)^{\varepsilon_K} \frac{l_{\nu \kappa}}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial A_{\nu, \theta}^{\beta} \partial A_{\kappa, \beta}^{\theta}}$$

I get three terms. First, there is the term

$$\sum_{p,q;\theta,\beta} (-1)^{\varepsilon_K} l_{\rho_p \tau_q} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \\ \alpha_{p-1}=\beta, \alpha_p=\theta}} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{p,K}} A_{\rho_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_r} \dots \widehat{A_{\rho_p, \theta}^{\beta}} \dots A_{\rho_r, \alpha_r}^{\alpha_{r-1}} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \\ \gamma_{q-1}=\theta, \gamma_q=\beta}} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{q,K}} A_{\tau_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_t} \dots \widehat{A_{\tau_q, \beta}^{\theta}} \dots A_{\tau_t, \gamma_t}^{\gamma_{t-1}} \right)$$

Rewriting this term so that the pairs of terms with repeating lower and upper indexes follow one after the other I get

$$\sum_{p,q;\theta,\beta} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{1,K}} l_{\rho_p \tau_q} \cdot \left(\sum_{\{\alpha\}, \{\gamma\}} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\rho\tau, K}} A_{\rho_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_r} \dots A_{\rho_{p-1}, \beta}^{\alpha_{p-2}} A_{\tau_{q+1}, \gamma_{q+1}}^{\beta} \dots A_{\tau_{q-1}, \theta}^{\gamma_{q-2}} A_{\rho_{p+1}, \alpha_{p+1}}^{\theta} \dots A_{\rho_r, \alpha_r}^{\alpha_{r-1}} \right)$$

where $\{\alpha\} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_{p-1}, \hat{\alpha}_p, \dots, \alpha_r\}$, $\{\gamma\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{q-1}, \hat{\gamma}_q, \dots, \gamma_t\}$, which is the term corresponding to the first term (??)

$$\sum_{p,q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{1,K}} l_{\rho_p \tau_q} \text{Tr}(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_{p-1}} A_{\tau_{q+1}} \dots A_{\tau_{q-1}} A_{\rho_{p+1}} \dots A_{\rho_r}).$$

The matching of signs is verified by using the general formalism of the Koszul rule, via multiplying the odd elements by associated odd parameters, verifying that the signs match in the degree zero case etc. The second term in formula for action of (10) on :

$$\sum_{p < q; \theta, \beta} (-1)^{\varepsilon_K} l_{\rho_p \rho_q} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r; \\ \alpha_{p-1}=\beta, \alpha_p=\theta \\ \alpha_{q-1}=\theta, \alpha_q=\beta}} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{p,K}} A_{\rho_1, \alpha_1}^{\alpha_r} \dots \widehat{A_{\rho_p, \theta}^{\beta}} \dots \widehat{A_{\rho_q, \beta}^{\theta}} \dots A_{\rho_r, \alpha_r}^{\alpha_{r-1}} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{\tau, K}} A_{\tau_1, \gamma_1}^{\gamma_t} \dots A_{\tau_t, \gamma_t}^{\gamma_{t-1}} \right).$$

Assume first that the erased terms $\widehat{A_{\rho_p, \theta}^{\beta}}$ and $\widehat{A_{\rho_q, \beta}^{\theta}}$ are not sitting next to each other, i.e. $p+1 < q$. Then, rewriting this expression so that the pairs of terms with the same repeating lower and upper indexes follow one after the other, gives

$$\sum_{p+1 < q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{2,K}} l_{\rho_p \rho_q} \cdot \text{Tr}(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_{p-1}} A_{\rho_{q+1}} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \text{Tr}(A_{\rho_{p+1}} \dots A_{\rho_{q-1}}) \text{Tr}(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t})$$

However if $p+1 = q$ then I get instead

$$\sum_p (-1)^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_K} l_{\rho_p \rho_{p+1}} \text{Tr}(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_{p-1}} A_{\rho_{p+2}} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \text{Tr}(Id) \text{Tr}(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t})$$

where $Tr(Id) = \sum_{\alpha} (-1)^{\overline{\alpha}}$, which is equal to zero precisely because the even and odd parts of U are of the same dimension

$$\dim_k U_0 = \dim_k U_1. \quad (12)$$

The third term is similar to the second and it gives

$$\sum_{p+1 < q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_{3,\kappa}} l_{\tau_p \tau_q} \cdot \\ \cdot Tr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) Tr(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_{p-1}} A_{\tau_{q+1}} \dots A_{\tau_t}) Tr(A_{\tau_{p+1}} \dots A_{\tau_{q-1}})$$

So I get the three terms corresponding exactly to the Batalin -Vilkovisky operator defined in ([B06a],[B06b]) ■

Since the map μ_F respects the multiplicative structure, I deduce immediately the similar result concerning the odd symplectic bracket.

Proposition 5 *The odd symplectic bracket*

$$F_n \otimes F_{n'} \rightarrow F_{n+n'-2}$$

coincides with the odd symplectic bracket on the $GL(N|N)$ -invariant subspaces for sufficiently big $N > n + n'$

$$S^n(gl(N|N) \otimes V)^{GL(N|N)} \otimes S^{n'}(gl(N|N) \otimes V)^{GL(N|N)} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow S^{n+n'-2}(gl(N|N) \otimes V)^{GL(N|N)}.$$

The important consequence of the theorem 4 is that the cohomology of the differential Δ acting on F are zero. This follows from the standard identification of the Batalin-Vilkovisky differential on affine space with the de Rham differential.

Proposition 6 *The matrix Batalin-Vilkovisky complex $(S(gl(N|N) \otimes V), \Delta)$ is naturally isomorphic to the De Rham complex of the affine space $(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0$*

Proof. I identify $S(gl(N|N) \otimes V)$ with algebra of polynomial functions on $\text{Hom}(V, gl(N|N)) \xrightarrow{l} gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V$. If $x_i \in V_0$, $x_{\pi i} \in V_1$ is a basis in which the odd scalar product has the standard form $l(x_i, x_{\pi j}) = \delta_{ij}$ then, in terms of the corresponding matrix elements $X_{i,\alpha}^\beta$, generating the algebra of polynomial functions on $\text{Hom}(V, gl(N|N))$, the isomorphism with the de Rham complex is the standard isomorphism between polyvector fields and forms, the "odd Fourier transform". For any set of odd elements $X_{j,\alpha}^\beta, \overline{j} + \overline{\alpha} + \overline{\beta} = 1$, it sends their product to the differential form

$$\prod X_{j,\alpha}^\beta \leftrightarrow \left(\prod i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial X_{\pi j, \beta}^\alpha} \right) \right) \Omega \quad (13)$$

where $X_{\pi j, \beta}^\alpha$ are the even elements and

$$\Omega = \prod_{\bar{j} + \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta} = 0} d(X_{j, \alpha}^\beta)$$

is the canonical constant volume form on $(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0$ and $i(v)$ is the standard contraction with the vector field v . ■

Theorem 7 *The cohomology of the Batalin-Vilkovisky differential acting on F are trivial: $H^*(\oplus_{n=0}^\infty F_n, \Delta) = 0$.*

Proof. The (algebraic) De Rham complex on the affine space $(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0$ has an extra grading by the polynomial degree, so that, for $N > n + 2$,

$$F_n \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Omega_{(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{2rN^2 - i, n - i} \right)^{GL(N|N)}, d_{DR} : \Omega_{(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{j, l} \rightarrow \Omega_{(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{j+1, l-1}$$

The cohomology of the de Rham differential are trivial on every bi-graded piece $\Omega_{(gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{2rN^2 - i, n - i}$. The standard arguments, see e.g. [GW98], [L92], that the cohomology are concentrated on the $GL(N|N)$ -invariant subspace. It follows that $\ker \Delta|_{F_n} = \text{im } \Delta|_{F_{n+2}}$. ■

Another nice consequence of the theorem 4 is a version of Morita equivalence, i.e. the action by tensor multiplication by $(gl(k|\tilde{k}), tr)$ on solutions to the noncommutative BV-equation.

Theorem 8 *Let*

$$(\tilde{V}, \tilde{l}) = (V, l) \otimes (gl(k|\tilde{k}), tr)$$

and let $M : F(V) \rightarrow F(\tilde{V})$ be the algebra map defined on generators by

$$(a_{\rho_1}, \dots, a_{\rho_r})^c \rightarrow tr(A_{\rho_1}, \dots, A_{\rho_r})^c,$$

Then for any solution S to the noncommutative Batalin-Vilkovisky equation in $F(V)$, $M(S)$ is a solution in $F(\tilde{V})$. Such solution are completely characterized by their extra $gl(k|\tilde{k})$ -symmetry.

Proof. Notice that as vector spaces with scalar products

$$(gl(N|N), tr) \otimes (gl(k|\tilde{k}), tr) \simeq (gl(\tilde{N}|\tilde{N}), tr)$$

where $\tilde{N} = N(k + \tilde{k})$, and therefore

$$gl(\tilde{N}|\tilde{N}) \otimes \Pi V \simeq gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi \tilde{V}$$

as affine spaces with constant BV structures. The $GL(\tilde{N}|\tilde{N})$ -invariant function $\mu_{F(V)}(S)$ on $gl(\tilde{N}|\tilde{N}) \otimes \Pi V$, coincides with $GL(N|N)$ -invariant function on $gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi \tilde{V}$ corresponding to $\mu_{F(\tilde{V})}M(S)$. Therefore $\mu_{F(\tilde{V})}M(S)$ satisfies the Batalin-Vilkovisky quantum master equation and so does $M(S)$. The characterisation of such solutions follows from (3). ■

3 Modular operad structure on $k[S_n]$.

I defined in [B06a] operations on collection of spaces $\{k[S_n]\}$ giving rise to the modular operad structure. The subspace of cyclic permutations corresponds to the cyclic operad of associative algebras with scalar product. The relation with $GL(U)$ -invariant tensors on the matrix spaces allows to give a straightforward definition for this modular operad structure.

I work in the category of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces and the modification of the modular operad notion needed here is defined as the algebra over triple, which is the functor on $\mathbb{S}$ -modules given by

$$\mathbb{M}\mathcal{V}((n)) = \bigoplus_{G \in \Gamma((n))} \mathcal{V}((G))_{Aut(G)}$$

i.e. forgetting the extra $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, compared with definition from [GK98]. It is straightforward to see that forgetting the extra $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and orientation on the spaces of cycles, formulas from ([B06a], section 9) define such

Consider the endomorphism modular operad $\mathcal{E}[End_k(U)]$, associated with the vector space $End_k(U)$, $\dim_k U = (N|N)$, equipped with the even scalar product defined by the super trace (9). I have

$$\mathcal{E}[End_k(U)]((n)) = End_k(U)^{\otimes n}$$

and contractions along graphs are defined via contractions with the two-tensor corresponding to the super trace. The structure maps of $\mathcal{E}[End_k(U)]$ are invariant under the $GL(U)$ -action. Consider the $GL(U)$ -invariant modular suboperad $\mathcal{E}[End_k(U)]^{GL(U)}$. Because of (3) its components for $n < N$ are the same as the components of the operad $\mathcal{S}((n)) = k[S_n]$

$$\mathcal{E}[End_k(U)]((n))^{GL(U)} \simeq \mathcal{S}((n)).$$

For the space $U' = U \oplus k^{1|1}$, the natural maps $\mathcal{E}[End_k(U)]((n))^{GL(U)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}[End_k(U')]((n))^{GL(U')}$ are isomorphisms for small $n < N$. Consider the modular operad $\mathcal{E}[End_k]^{GL}$ which is the direct limit of $\mathcal{E}[End_k(U_i)]^{GL(U_i)}$, $\dim_k U_i = (N_i|N_i)$, $N_i \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\mathcal{E}[End_k]^{GL} = \varinjlim \mathcal{E}[End_k(U_i)]^{GL(U_i)}$$

Recall, see loc.cit., that the basic contraction operators

$$\mu_{ff'}^{\mathcal{S}} : \mathcal{S}((I \sqcup \{f, f'\})) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}((I))$$

are defined for the modular operad $\mathcal{S}$ as the linear maps

$$k[Aut(I \sqcup \{f, f'\})] \rightarrow k[Aut(I)]$$

defined by on permutations of the set $(I \sqcup \{f, f'\})$ via

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{p-1} f \rho_{p+1} \dots \rho_r) \dots (\tau_1 \dots \tau_{q-1} f' \tau_{q+1} \dots \tau_t) \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow (\rho_1 \dots \rho_{p-1} \rho_{p+1} \dots \rho_r) \dots (\tau_1 \dots \tau_{q-1} \tau_{q+1} \dots \tau_t) \end{aligned}$$

if the elements f and f' are in the different cycles of the permutation, and via

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{p-1} f \rho_{p+1} \cdots \rho_{q-1} f' \rho_{q+1} \cdots \rho_r) \cdots (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_t) &\rightarrow \\ \rightarrow (\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{p-1} \rho_{p+1} \cdots \rho_{q-1} \rho_{q+1} \cdots \rho_r) \cdots (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_t) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$(\rho_1 \cdots \rho_{p-1} f f' \rho_{p+1} \cdots \rho_r) \cdots (\tau_1 \cdots \tau_t) \rightarrow 0 \quad (15)$$

if the elements f and f' are in the same cycle of the permutation.

Proposition 9 *The modular operad $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to the modular operad $\mathcal{E}[End_k]^{GL}$*

Proof. The calculations are very similar to the calculations as in the proof of the theorem 4. In particular the condition (12) implies (15). ■

4 Even scalar product.

In the case of even scalar product the space F , on which the quantum master equation of the noncommutative Batalin-Vilkovisky geometry is defined, is

$$F = Symm(\oplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \Pi((\Pi V)^{\otimes j})^{\mathbb{Z}/j\mathbb{Z}})$$

with components

$$F_n = ((\Pi V)^{\otimes n} \otimes k[\mathbb{S}_n]')^{\mathbb{S}_n}$$

where $k[\mathbb{S}_n]'$ is the vector space with the basis indexed by elements (σ, ρ_σ) , where $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ is a permutation with i_σ cycles σ_α and $\rho_\sigma = \sigma_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma_{i_\sigma}$, $\rho_\sigma \in Det(Cycle(\sigma))$, $Det(Cycle(\sigma)) = Symm^{i_\sigma}(k^{0|i_\sigma})$, is one of the generators of the one-dimensional determinant of the set of cycles of σ , i.e. ρ_σ is an order on the set of cycles defined up to even reordering, and $(\sigma, -\rho_\sigma) = -(\sigma, \rho_\sigma)$.

If I fix an even scalar product on the space V , then F has canonical differential Δ , and it defines the Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure on F , see [B06a],[B06b].

Consider again the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space U , $\dim U_0 = \dim U_1 = N$. Let p , $p^2 = 1$, denotes an *odd* involution acting on U . It acts by interchanging isomorphically U_0 with U_1 . Our basic algebra with trace in the case of even scalar product is the subalgebra of $End(U)$, of operators commuting with p :

$$q(U) = \{G \in End(U) \mid [G, p] = 0\}.$$

It looks as follows in the standard block decomposition of supermatrices:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} X & Y \\ -Y & X \end{pmatrix} \quad (16)$$

in the base in which $p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_N \\ 1_N & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space $q(U)$ is isomorphic to $\Pi T End(U_0)$:

$$q(U) = End(U_0) \oplus \Pi End(U_0).$$

The algebra structure is different however from the standard multiplication on $End(U_0) \otimes k[\xi]/\{\xi^2 = 0\}$. The algebra $q(U)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of $End(U_0)$ with the Clifford algebra:

$$q(U) = End(U_0) \otimes Cl(1), Cl(1) = k[\xi]/\{\xi^2 = 1\}$$

The property of $q(U)$ of the main interest for me is that it has an *odd* analog of the super trace functional:

$$\begin{aligned} otr(G) &= \frac{1}{2}tr(Gp) = (-1)^{\overline{G}} \frac{1}{2}tr(pG) = tr\Xi, \\ otr([G, G']) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which gives canonical *odd* invariant scalar product on $q(U)$:

$$\langle G, G' \rangle = otr(GG') = tr(XY') + tr(YX').$$

This odd scalar product on $q(U)$ together with even scalar product on V defines the natural odd symmetric scalar product on the tensor product $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space

$$Hom(q(U), \Pi V)$$

Therefore, as in the previous case, this space is an affine space with constant odd symplectic structure and therefore its algebra of symmetric tensors $\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} S^n Hom(q(U), \Pi V)$ has natural structure of Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra.

The subgroup $GQ(U) \subset GL(U)$, preserving the odd involution p :

$$GQ(U) = \{g \in GL(U) | gp g^{-1} = p\}.$$

is the super group, which acts on the Lie algebra $q(U)$ via the adjoint representation. For this odd analog of the general linear group, it follows from the results of [S84] that, taking invariants in the tensor powers of the coadjoint representation, remarkably gives precisely the twisted group algebras $k[\mathbb{S}_n]'$, which appeared in [B06a] in order to describe the quantum master equation of non-commutative Batalin-Vilkovisky geometry in the even scalar product case. The description of $GQ(U)$ -invariants in terms of products of odd traces, based on this, seems to be new.

Proposition 10 *I have*

$$Hom(q(U)^{\otimes n}, k)^{GQ(U)} = k[\mathbb{S}_n]' \quad (17)$$

for $\dim U = (N|N)$ sufficiently big ($N > n$). The $GQ(U)$ -invariants in $Hom(q(U)^{\otimes n}, k)$ are spanned linearly by the products of odd traces:

$$otr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \cdot \dots \cdot otr(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t}), \quad A_i \in q(U).$$

Proof. See [S84]. Here is a sketch of other arguments. The basis for invariants of the $GQ(U)$ action on $Hom(End(U), k)$ is $tr(G) = \sum_a e_a \otimes e^a$ and

$tr(pG) = \sum_a (pe_a) \otimes e^a$. Therefore on the space of tensors $\text{Hom}(End(U), k)^{\otimes n}$ the space of $GQ(U)$ -invariants is spanned by all possible combinations of these two elements of the form

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n} (e_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes e^{a_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (pe_{a_{\sigma(i)}} \otimes e^{a_i}) \otimes \dots,$$

where $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$. Such element corresponds to an arbitrary permutation $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$ and the marking, which associates one of the two types of tensors $(e_{a_{\sigma(i)}} \otimes e^{a_i})$ or $(pe_{a_{\sigma(i)}} \otimes e^{a_i})$ to every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If the cycle decomposition of σ is denoted by $(\rho_1 \dots \rho_r) \dots (\tau_1 \dots \tau_t)$, then such an element gives the linear functional on $End(U)^{\otimes n}$ of the following type:

$$tr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_{i-1}} p A_{\rho_i} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \cdot \dots \cdot tr(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_{j-1}} p A_{\tau_j} \dots A_{\tau_t})$$

consisting of products of traces of compositions of the endomorphisms with arbitrary inclusions of the operator p . The subspace $q(U) \subset End(U)$ has complementary subspace, preserved by $GQ(U)$, which consists of endomorphisms anticommuting with p . Therefore the restriction map from $\text{Hom}(End(U)^{\otimes n}, k)$ to $\text{Hom}(q(U)^{\otimes n}, k)$ is onto. The operator p commutes with any $A_{\rho_j} \in q(U)$. Therefore on $q(U)^{\otimes n}$ all inclusions of p inside the given trace cancel with each other, except for possibly one inclusion:

$$tr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_{i-1}} p A_{\rho_i} \dots A_{\rho_r}) = tr(p^l A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r})$$

where $l = 0$ or $l = 1$ depending on the parity of the total number of inclusions of p . Notice now that for any $A \in q(U)$, $tr(A) = 0$. And therefore the traces with even number of inclusions of p vanish on $q(U)^{\otimes n}$. The trace with odd number of inclusions of p becomes the odd trace otr when restricted to $q(U)$. I see that the $GQ(U)$ -invariants in $\text{Hom}(q(U)^{\otimes n}, k)$ are spanned by the products of odd traces:

$$otr(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \cdot \dots \cdot otr(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t}), \quad A_i \in q(U).$$

One can also deduce from the corresponding result for gl , that these products of odd traces are linearly independent for $N \geq n$. ■

The super group $GQ(U)$ preserves the odd trace otr and therefore the invariants subspace $\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} (S^n \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))^{GQ(U)}$ inherits the natural Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra structure. I have now the following analogs of the propositions 1, 5 and of the theorem 4. The proofs are completely analogous to the proofs in the odd scalar product case.

Proposition 11 *The vector space F_n is canonically identified with $GQ(U)$ -invariant subspace of n -th symmetric powers of the vector space $q(U) \otimes V$:*

$$F_n \simeq (S^n \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))^{GQ(U)}, \quad (18)$$

where $q(U) \subset GL(U)$ is the odd general linear algebra and $\dim_k U = N$, $N \geq n$.

Identify the symmetric algebra generated by $\text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V)$ with polynomial functions on $\text{Hom}(\Pi V, q(U))$. For an element $a_i \in \Pi V$ let A_i denotes the corresponding $q(U)$ -valued linear function on $\text{Hom}(\Pi V, q(U))$.

Proposition 12 *The isomorphism (18) sends $(a_{\rho_1} \dots a_{\rho_r})^c \wedge \dots \wedge (a_{\tau_1} \dots a_{\tau_t})^c$ to the product of odd traces*

$$\text{otr}(A_{\rho_1} \dots A_{\rho_r}) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{otr}(A_{\tau_1} \dots A_{\tau_t})$$

Theorem 13 *The operator Δ defined on the $GQ(U)$ -invariant subspace*

$$\Delta : (S^n \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))^{GQ(U)} \rightarrow (S^{n-2} \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))^{GQ(U)},$$

where $\dim_k U = (N|N)$ is sufficiently big ($N \geq n$), coincides with the differential $\Delta : F_n \rightarrow F_{n-2}$ from ([B06a],[B06b]).

Proposition 14 *The odd symplectic bracket on the $GQ(U)$ -invariant subspaces*

$$\begin{aligned} (S^n \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))^{GQ(U)} \otimes (S^{n'} \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))^{GQ(U)} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow S^{n+n'-2} \text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V)^{GQ(U)} \end{aligned}$$

coincides with the standard odd symplectic bracket (see references in loc.cit):

$$F_n \otimes F_{n'} \rightarrow F_{n+n'-2}.$$

Next in order to calculate the cohomology of Δ on $S(\text{Hom}(q(U), \Pi V))$ I identify it with de Rham complex of the affine space $(q(U) \otimes \Pi V)_0$. For U with a fixed basis $U \simeq k^N$ I use the standard notation $q(N)$.

Proposition 15 *The matrix Batalin-Vilkovisky complex $(S(\text{Hom}(q(N), \Pi V)), \Delta)$ is naturally isomorphic to the de Rham complex of the affine space $(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0$*

Proof. As above I consider $S(\text{Hom}(q(N), \Pi V))$ as the algebra of polynomial functions on the affine space $\text{Hom}(\Pi V, q(N))$. Let $v_j \in \Pi V$ be a basis and let $(X_{j,\alpha}^\beta, Y_{j,\alpha}^\beta)$ denotes the corresponding natural basis of linear functions on $\text{Hom}(\Pi V, q(N))$. Denote by $\tilde{v}_j$ the dual basis satisfying $l(\pi y_j, \pi \tilde{y}_{j'}) = \delta_{jj'}$ and via $(\tilde{X}_{j,\alpha}^\beta, \tilde{Y}_{j,\alpha}^\beta)$ the corresponding basis of linear functions on $\text{Hom}(\Pi V, q(N))$. The standard isomorphism between polyvector fields and forms sends in this case the product of a set of odd elements $X_{j,\alpha}^\beta$, $\bar{j} = 1$, $Y_{k,\varepsilon}^\gamma$, $\bar{k} = 0$, to the differential form

$$\prod X_{j,\alpha}^\beta \prod Y_{k,\varepsilon}^\gamma \leftrightarrow \left(\prod i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{Y}_{j,\alpha}^\beta}\right) \prod i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{X}_{k,\varepsilon}^\gamma}\right) \right) \Omega \quad (19)$$

where

$$\Omega = \prod_{\bar{j}=0,\alpha,\beta} d(X_{j,\alpha}^\beta) \prod_{\bar{j}=1,\alpha,\beta} d(Y_{j,\alpha}^\beta)$$

is the constant volume form on $(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0$ and $i(v)$ is the standard contraction with the vector field v . ■

Theorem 16 *The cohomology of the Batalin-Vilkovisky differential acting on F are trivial: $H^*(\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n, \Delta) = 0$.*

Proof. The proof is parallel to the above and follows from

$$F_n \simeq (\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \Omega_{(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{rN^2-i, n-i})^{GQ(N)}, d_{DR} : \Omega_{(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{j,l} \rightarrow \Omega_{(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{j+1, l-1}$$

where $\Omega_{(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{j,l}$ is the de Rham complex with the extra grading by the polynomial degree, $N > n+2$ and $r = \dim_k V$. The cohomology of the de Rham differential are trivial on every bi-graded piece $\Omega_{(q(N) \otimes \Pi V)_0}^{rN^2-i, n-i}$ and the standard arguments, see e.g. [GW98], [L92], imply that the cohomology are concentrated on the $GQ(N)$ -invariant subspace. It follows that $\ker \Delta|_{F_n} = \text{im } \Delta|_{F_{n+2}}$. ■

A version of Morita equivalence holds also.

Theorem 17 *Let*

$$(\tilde{V}, \tilde{l}) = (V, l) \otimes (gl(k|k'), tr)$$

and let $M : F(V) \rightarrow F(\tilde{V})$ be the map of the commutative algebras defined on generators by

$$(a_{\rho_1}, \dots, a_{\rho_r})^c \rightarrow tr(A_{\rho_1}, \dots, A_{\rho_r})^c$$

Then for any solution S to the noncommutative Batalin-Vilkovisky equation in $F(V)$, $M(S)$ is a solution in $F(\tilde{V})$. Class of such solutions is completely characterized by the extra $gl(k|k')$ -symmetry.

Proof. This follows from the isomorphism of vector spaces with scalar products

$$(q(N), otr) \otimes (gl(k|k'), tr) \simeq (q(\tilde{N}), otr)$$

where $\tilde{N} = N(k + k')$, which follows directly from the definition of $q(N)$ and otr . Therefore

$$q(\tilde{N}) \otimes \Pi V \simeq q(N) \otimes \Pi \tilde{V}$$

as affine spaces with constant BV structures. The $GQ(\tilde{N})$ -invariant function $\mu_{F(V)}(S)$ on $q(\tilde{N}) \otimes \Pi V$, coincides by definition with $GQ(N)$ -invariant function on $q(N) \otimes \Pi \tilde{V}$ corresponding to $\mu_{F(\tilde{V})}M(S)$. Hence $\mu_{F(\tilde{V})}M(S)$, and therefore $M(S)$, both satisfy the Batalin-Vilkovisky quantum master equations. ■

4.1 Super Morita equivalence.

It is remarkable that thanks to the supersymmetry there exists a superversion of Morita equivalence.

Theorem 18 *Let (V, l) be a vector space with odd (even) scalar product, define the vector space with even (respectively, odd) scalar product*

$$(\tilde{V}, \tilde{l}) = (V, l) \otimes (q(1), otr)$$

and let $M : F(V) \rightarrow F(\tilde{V})$ be the map of the commutative algebras defined on generators by

$$(a_{\rho_1}, \dots, a_{\rho_r})^c \rightarrow otr(A_{\rho_1}, \dots, A_{\rho_r})^c,$$

Then for any solution S to the noncommutative Batalin-Vilkovisky equation in $F(V)$, $M(S)$ is a solution in $F(\tilde{V})$. Class of such solutions is completely characterized by the extra $GQ(1)$ –symmetry.

Proof. Let (V, l) be a vector space with odd scalar product, the other case is analogous. The statement follows from the isomorphism of vector spaces with scalar products

$$(q(N), otr) \otimes (q(1), otr) \simeq (gl(N|N), tr)$$

which follows from the definition of $q(N)$ and otr . Therefore

$$gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V \simeq q(N) \otimes \Pi \tilde{V} \quad (20)$$

as affine spaces with constant BV structures. The $GL(N|N)$ –invariant function $\mu_{F(V)}(S)$ on $gl(N|N) \otimes \Pi V$, coincides by definition with $GQ(N)$ –invariant function $\mu_{F(\tilde{V})}M(S)$ after identification (20). Hence $\mu_{F(\tilde{V})}M(S)$, and therefore $M(S)$, both satisfy the Batalin-Vilkovisky quantum master equations . ■

4.2 Twisted modular operad on $k[\mathbb{S}_n]'$.

Using (17) it is straightforward to prove the following statement

Proposition 19 *The twisted modular operad structure on the collection of spaces $\{k[\mathbb{S}_n]'\}$ described in [B06a] is isomorphic to the stable part, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, of the GQ –invariants suboperad of the standard tensor twisted modular operad, based on the vector space with odd scalar product $(q(N), otr)$*

$$\mathcal{E}[q(N)]((n)) = q(N)^{\otimes n}$$

with contractions along graphs defined via contractions with the two-tensor corresponding to the odd trace.

5 Equivariant differential and localization.

Since I identified my basic spaces F equipped with the non-commutative Batalin-Vilkovisky operator Δ with subspaces in the de Rham complexes of affine spaces

invariant with respect to the action of the supergroups $GL(N|N)$ and $GQ(N)$, it is natural to look for the rest part of the equivariant differential acting on invariant subspaces. I'll treat both even and odd cases simultaneously in the most part of this and the next sections. Denote by g and G in the odd scalar product case the super Lie algebra $gl(N|N)$ and $GL(N|N)$, and in the even scalar product case the super Lie algebra $q(N)$ and the super group $GQ(N)$. Denote by β the odd symplectic structure on the affine space $g \otimes \Pi V$.

Proposition 20 *The adjoint action of the super Lie algebra g on the vector space $g \otimes \Pi V$ preserves the odd symplectic structure. The Hamiltonian of the linear vector field corresponding to $\gamma \in g$, is the quadratic function*

$$S_{2,\gamma} = \beta([\gamma, X], X) \quad (21)$$

It satisfies

$$\Delta S_{2,\gamma} = 0 \quad (22)$$

Proof. The first equation is the standard formula of symplectic geometry and follows from the definition of the hamiltonian:

$$dS_{2,\gamma}(Y) = (i_{ad(\gamma)}\beta)(Y)$$

for any vector field Y . The second formula is equivalent to

$$tr_g(ad(\gamma)) = 0$$

satisfied for any γ in the supersymmetric algebras $gl(N|N)$ and $q(N)$. ■

Proposition 21 *The Lie derivative by the linear vector fields $L_{ad(\gamma)}$ satisfies on functions on $\mathcal{O}(g \otimes \Pi V)$ Cartan homotopy formula*

$$L_{ad(\gamma)} = [\Delta, S_{2,\gamma}]$$

where slightly abusing notation I denote by $S_{2,\gamma}$ here the multiplication by the quadratic hamiltonian (21).

Proof. This is immediate from (22) and the basic formula of Batalin-Vilkovisky geometry, expressing the bracket via the action of Δ . ■

Proposition 22 *The equivariant differential $d_{DR} + i_\gamma$ of the action of G on $\Omega_{DR}((g \otimes \Pi V)_0)$ corresponds to the differential on $\mathcal{O}(g \otimes \Pi V)$:*

$$\Delta + S_{2,\gamma}$$

In the next proposition I show that promoting the standard action of g_0 on $\Omega_{DR}((g \otimes \Pi V)_0)$ to the action of the super Lie algebra g gives natural construction of equivariantly closed differential forms for the action of one-parameter subgroups of G_0 generated by elements of the form $[\gamma, \gamma]$, $\gamma \in g_1$.

Proposition 23 For any G -invariant function $\Psi \in \mathcal{O}(g \otimes \Pi V)^G$ and any $\gamma \in g$ the formula

$$\gamma \in g \rightarrow \exp(S_{2,\gamma})\Psi$$

defines a G -invariant element from $(\mathcal{O}(g) \otimes \mathcal{O}(g \otimes \Pi V))^G$, which corresponds under the odd Fourier transform to the equivariant differential form from $(\mathcal{O}(g) \otimes \Omega_{DR}((g \otimes \Pi V)_0))^G$. If Ψ is Δ -closed, then this element is closed under the equivariant differential

$$(\Delta + S_{2,\zeta})[\exp(S_{2,\gamma})\Psi] = 0$$

where $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}[\gamma, \gamma]$

Proof. It follows from the standard Cartan calculus formula:

$$\Delta[\exp(S_{2,\gamma})] = \exp(S_{2,\gamma})(\Delta + [\Delta, S_{2,\gamma}] + \frac{1}{2}S_{2,[\gamma,\gamma]})$$

■

Corollary 24 The lagrangians of the matrix integrals

$$\int_L \exp \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{otr} \circ l^{-1}([\Xi, X], X) + S_q(X) \right) dX \quad (23)$$

and

$$\int_L \exp \frac{1}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \circ l^{-1}([\Xi, X], X) + S_{gl}(X) \right) dX \quad (24)$$

constructed in [B06b], represent, after the odd Fourier transforms (13,19), equivariant differential forms from $\Omega_{DR}((g \otimes \Pi V)_0)$. These forms are closed under the equivariant differential $(\Delta + S_{2,\Xi^2}) = d_{DR} + i_{[\Xi^2, \cdot]}$

Example 25 In the case of the matrix integral (24), with $\Xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Id \\ \Lambda_{01} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\Lambda_{01} \in gl(N)$, this gives an equivariant differential form from $\Omega_{DR}((g \otimes \Pi V)_0)$ with respect to the block-diagonal action $\begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{01} & 0 \\ 0 & \Lambda_{01} \end{pmatrix}$ of $gl(N) \subset gl(N|N)$.

Corollary 26 The localization in equivariant cohomology reduces the integrals (23,24) over S^1 -invariant Lefschetz thimbles in $[\Xi^2, \cdot]$ -invariant subspace of $(g \otimes \Pi V)_0$ corresponding to L (where S^1 is the compact subgroup of the group generated by $[\Xi^2, \cdot]$ -action), to the integrals over $[\Xi^2, \cdot]$ -fixed points. The details of the computation will appear in [B09c].

6 Noncommutative AKSZ formalism.

The relation of nc-BV differential Δ with invariant integration with respect to the supergroups $GQ(N)$ and $GL(N|N)$, gives to the lagrangians from [B06b] a nice interpretation as non-commutative *super*-equivariant analogues of the AKSZ σ -model. This and other interesting non-commutative analogues of the standard lagrangians are studied in [B09b] and [B10].

I consider first the even scalar product case, the case of the odd scalar product is parallel. The initial step is to interpret the space $q(N) \otimes \Pi V$ as the space of morphisms

$$Free(\Pi V)^{dual} \rightarrow q(N)$$

from the free associative algebra generated by $(\Pi V)^{dual}$. This space can be interpreted as the functor of points of $Spec(Free(\Pi V)^{dual})$ over simple associative *super* algebra $q(N)$.

$$Spec(q(N)) \rightarrow Spec(Free(\Pi V)^{dual})$$

Next, interpret the even scalar product on V as even symplectic 2-form on $Spec(Free(\Pi V)^{dual})$, and interpret the odd trace on $q(N)$ as integral with respect to the odd volume element. Therefore their tensor product, defining the odd symplectic structure on $q(N) \otimes \Pi V$, is the analogue of the odd symplectic structure on space of maps $f: \Sigma \rightarrow X$:

$$(u, v)_f = \int_{\Sigma} \omega_{f(y)}(u(y), v(y)) dY$$

where $u, v \in T_f Maps(\Sigma, X)$. Now notice that the supergroup $GQ(N)$ acts on $Mor(Free(\Pi V)^{dual}, q_N)$, preserving the odd symplectic structure. The hamiltonians of the corresponding vector fields are the quadratic functions $S_{2,\gamma}$ (21). Solution S to the non-commutative BV-equation gives $GQ(N)$ -invariant function $\mu_F(S)$ (denoted by S_q in (24)) on $Mor(Free(\Pi V)^{dual}, q_N)$, which corresponds also to a hamiltonian vector field. Their sum satisfies the *equivariant* quantum master equation

$$\hbar \Delta(S_q + S_{2,\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2}[S_q + S_{2,\gamma}, S_q + S_{2,\gamma}] + S_{2,\frac{1}{2}[\gamma,\gamma]} = 0$$

Derivations of $Free(\Pi V)^{dual}$, preserving the even scalar product on V , corresponds to cyclic Hochschild cochains. They also act on $Mor(Free(\Pi V)^{dual}, q_N)$, preserving the odd symplectic structure and commuting with the $GQ(N)$ supergroup action. The corresponding hamiltonians and their products, which are closed under the differential $\hbar \Delta + [S, \cdot]$ constitute natural observables of the theory. In the first approximation they correspond to the cyclic cohomology classes and their exterior products. More details on this very interesting lagrangian are in [B09b].

References

- [AKSZ97] Alexandrov, M.;Schwarz, A.;Zaboronsky, O.;Kontsevich, M. *The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory* Internat. J. Modern Phys. A 12 7 (1997) 1405-1429
- [B00] S.Barannikov, *Quantum periods - I. Semi-infinite variations of Hodge structures*. Preprint ENS DMA-00-19. Intern. Math. Res. Notices. 2001, No. 23
- [B06a] S.Barannikov, *Modular operads and non-commutative Batalin-Vilkovisky geometry*. IMRN (2007) Vol. 2007 : rnm075; Preprint Max Planck Institute for Mathematics 2006-48 (25/04/2006),
- [B06b] S.Barannikov, *Noncommutative Batalin-Vilkovisky geometry and matrix integrals*. Preprint NI06043 (2006), Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge University. Preprint hal-00102085 (09/2006). Comptes Rendus Mathématique, of the French Academy of Sciences, submitted on May,17,2009, presented for publication by Academy member M.Kontsevich on May,20,2009.
- [B09a] S.Barannikov, *Supersymmetry and cohomology of graph complexes*. Preprint hal-00429963; (2009).
- [B09b] S.Barannikov, *Supersymmetric matrix integrals and sigma-model*. Preprint hal-00443592; (2009)
- [B09c] S.Barannikov, *Equivariant Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism and localisation*. Preprint
- [B09d] S.Barannikov, *Noncommutative equivariant Chern-Simons functional and moduli spaces*. Preprint
- [B10] S.Barannikov, *Noncommutative AKSZ-formalism*. Preprint
- [BL82] J. N. Bernstein and D. A. Leites, *The superalgebra $Q(n)$, the odd trace, and the odd determinant*, Dokl. Bolg. Akad. Nauk, 35, No. 3, 285-286 (1982)
- [FT87] B.Feigin, B.Tsygan, *Additive K -theory*. Springer, LNM 1289 (1987), 97-209.
- [GK98] E.Getzler, M.Kapranov, *Modular operads*. Compositio Math. 110 (1998), no. 1, 65-126.
- [GW98] R.Goodman, N.R.Wallach, *Representations and invariants of the classical groups*. Cambridge University Press,1998.
- [K93] Kontsevich M. *Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry*. The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars, 1990-1992, 173-187, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 1993.

- [L92] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic homology*. Springer, 1992.
- [S84] Sergeev A., *Tensornaya algebra tozhdestvennogo predstavleniya kak modul nad superalgebrami Lie $gl(n, m)$ i $q(n)$* . Mat.Sbornik, 123(165), v.3(1984), 422-430.